

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,003	10/19/2001	Fatih M. Uckun	12152.107USU1	5692
23552	7590 01/28/2004	EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			TRAVERS, RUSSELL S	
	P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			PAPER NUMBER
			1617	

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summers	10/037,003	UCKUN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE AND	Russell Travers, J.D.,Ph.D	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>03 November 2003</u> .	
0-1\(\sigma\)	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	r allowance except for farmer	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims		, 111 3131 213.
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-12 and 14-45</u> is/are pe	ending in the application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8,10-12 and</u>	d 31-44 is/are withdrawn from consi	deration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-23 and 28-30 is/are rejected.		
6)		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or alogtication	
Application Papers	ir and/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the E	ivaminor	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	Accented or b) objected to by	Abo Fire w
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	Son 27 CER 4 05(-)
replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(a)	is objected to 0 - 07 OFF 4 444
The bath of declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152
Friority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc		19(a)-(d) or (f).
Z. U Certified copies of the priority doc	Uments have been received in April	lication No
application from the International	ne priority documents have been rec	ceived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action fo 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for de since a specific reference was included in	OMESTIC priority updor 25 LLC C. c.4	40() (
37 CFR 1.78.	the first sentence of the specification	n or in an Application Data Sheet.
a) The translation of the foreign langua	ge provisional application has been	received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do reference was included in the first sentence	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ e of the specification or in an Applic	120 and/or 121 since a specific ation Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 11-03)		

Art Unit:

The amendment filed November 3, 2003 has been received and entered into the file.

Applicant's arguments filed November 3, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Claims 1-8, 10-12 and 14-23 and 25-45 are presented for examination.

Newly submitted claims 16-29 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, Claims 14-30 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no undue burden would be placed on Examiner. This is not found persuasive because the instant invention's nature are different. A search for a method of use requires a separate and distinct search based on the intended use. Searches for compositions of matter would not be limited to the instant envisioned use.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-8, 10-12 and 31-44 reading on non-elected subject matter will be withdrawn form consideration.

This application contains claims 1-8, 10-12 and 31-44 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 9. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Art Unit:

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to adequately teach how to make and/or use the invention, and thereby failing to provide an enabling disclosure.

The instant specification fails to provide information that would allow the skilled artisan to practice the instant invention without undue experimentation. Attention is directed to *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (CAFC 1988) at 1404 where the court set forth the eight factors to consider when assessing if a disclosure would have required undue experimentation. Citing *Ex parte Forman*, 230 USPQ 546 (BdApls 1986) at 547 the court recited eight factors:

- 1) the quantity of experimentation necessary,
- 2) the amount of direction or guidance provided,
- 3) the presence of absence of working examples,
- 4) the nature of the invention,
- 5) the state of the prior art,
- 6) the relative skill of those in the art

Art Unit:

- 7) the predictability of the art, and
- 8) the breadth of the claims.

Applicant fails to set forth the criteria that defines those compounds falling under neither the "cholinesterase inhibitor", nor the "carboxylesterase inhibitor" penumbra. Additionally, Applicant fails to provide information allowing the skilled artisan to ascertain these compounds without undue experimentation. In the instant case, only a limited number of those compounds falling under either the "cholinesterase inhibitor", or the "carboxylesterase inhibitor penumbra examples are set forth, thereby failing to provide sufficient working examples. It is noted that these examples are neither exhaustive, nor define the class of compounds required. The pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. The instant claims read on all those compounds falling under either the "cholinesterase inhibitor", or the "carboxylesterase inhibitor" penumbra, necessitating an exhaustive search for the embodiments suitable to practice the claimed invention. Applicants fail to provide information sufficient to practice the claimed invention, absent undue experimentation.

Claims 14-23, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,003

Art Unit:

Claims 14-23, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 14-23, 28 and 29 are rendered indefinite by the phrases "cholinesterase inhibitor", or "carboxylesterase inhibitor" and thereby failing to clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Criteria defining medicaments that fall under the "cholinesterase inhibitor", or the "carboxylesterase inhibitor" penumbra are not set forth in the specification, thereby failing to provide information defining the instant inventions metes and bounds. Applicant's term fails to clearly define the subject matter encompassed by the instant claims, thus is properly rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

Examiner agrees the skilled artisan might ascertain some compounds suitable for use in the instant claimed invention, yet this fact fails to enable the claims as presented. If the skilled artisan must examine each compound for suitability in practicing the invention as claimed, an undue burden of experimentation is placed on those seeking to practice the invention. Additionally, the skilled artisan would not easily ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Attention is directed to *General Electric Company v. Wabash Appliance*Corporation et al 37 USPQ 466 (US 1938), at 469, speaking to functional language at

Application/Control Number: 10/037,003

Art Unit:

the point of novelty as herein employed: "the vice of a functional claim exists not only when a claims is "wholly" functional, if that is ever true, but when the inventor is painstaking when he recites what has already been seen, and then uses conveniently functional language at the exact point of novelty". Functional language at the point of novelty, as herein employed by Applicants, is further admonished in University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co. 43 USPQ2d 1398 (CAFC 1997) at 1406: stating this usage does "little more than outlin[e] goals appellants hope the recited invention achieves and the problems the invention will hopefully ameliorate". Applicants functional language at the point of novelty fails to meet the requirements set forth under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. Claims employing functional language at the point of novelty, such as Applicants', neither provide those elements required to practice the inventions, nor "inform the public during the life of the patent of the limits of the monopoly asserted" General Electric Company v. Wabash Appliance Corporation et supra, at 468. Claims thus constructed provide no guidance as to medicaments employed, levels for providing therapeutic benefit, or provide notice for those practicing in the art, limits of protection. Simply stated, the presented claims are an invitation to experiment, not reciting a specific medicament regimen useful for practicing the instant invention.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the

Application/Control Number: 10/037,003

Art Unit:

shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Russell Travers at telephone number (703) 308-4603.

Russell Travers J.D., Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1617